

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/879,958	06/14/2001	Kazuo Sano	4468-016	4198
7590 06/10/2004		EXAMINER AMINI, JAVID A		
LOWE HAUPTMAN GOPSTEIN				
GILMAN & BERNER, LLP Suite 310 1700 Diagonal Road Alexandria, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2672	15
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/879,958	SANO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Javid A Amini	2672	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED ay 12, 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a virinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	<del>-</del>		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>12 April 2004</u>. Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> </ol>			in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) M they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 6-21.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
		Javid A Amini Examiner Art Unit: 2672	





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment in claim 1 the terms "identifying a color chip and data of user-specified" raised new issues.

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant needs to describe the Color chip in the claim in sush a way to be understandable to a person skilled in the art.

JEFFERY BRIER

Johns a. Brier

PRIMARY EXAMINER